



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,493	07/02/2003	Daniel David Lecloux	UC0213 US NA2	3538
23906	7590 05/07/2004		EXAM	INER
E I DU PON	NT DE NEMOURS AND	AULAKH, CHARANJIT		
LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128 4417 LANCASTER PIKE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
WILMINGT	ON, DE 19805		DATE MAILED: 05/07/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/612,493	LECLOUX ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Charanjit S. Aulakh	1625			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	1 -				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per uncertainty of the provision	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statute. Cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	4 April 2004.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	— The second of the months is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-39</u> is/are withdre Claim(s) <u></u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u></u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u></u> are subject to restriction an	awn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10) 🗌	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t		` ,			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burdee the attached detailed Office action for a least content Copies Copies	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
2) Notice 3) Inform Paper	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C No(s)/Mail Date 2.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. According to paper filed on April 14, 2004, the applicants have elected group I, claims
- 1-5 for prosecution in response to restriction requirement. Because applicant did not

distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement,

the election has been treated as an election without traverse; see MPEP 818.03(a).

2. Claims 1-39 are pending in the application. Claims 6-39 are withdrawn from further

consideration as being directed to non-elected inventions.

Drawings

3. The drawings for figures 2-5 and 7 do not correspond to the description of the drawings in the specification on page 5. In the specification, only figures 1-8 are described. However, according to drawings, there are figures 2A, 2B, 3A to 3I, 4A to 4H, 5A to 5E, 7A and 7B which are not described in the specification. On the other hand, there are no drawings for figures 2-5 and 7. Also, according to description of figure 3 in the specification, formulae I(a) through I(i) are mentioned. However, according to the drawings, figures 3A to 3I are directed to formulae II(a) through II(i). An appropriate correction is required.

Specification

4. The applicants refer to figures for phenanthroline derivatives of various formulae. The applicants are suggested to include these formulae in the specification for clarity since variables R1-R3, x, y, m etc. in these formulae are defined in the specification and not in the drawings.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,493

Art Unit: 1625

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1-5, it is not clear what type of composition is being claimed? Is it pharmaceutical, cleaning, pesticidal or something else?

In independent claim 1, formula I is not present in the claim 1. The applicants direct to figure 1 for formula I. This is confusing. The applicants are suggested to insert the formula I in claim 1. Also, it is very confusing and difficult to understand various variables present on the phenanthroline tricyclic ring system based on the values of variables a, b and c. The applicants have put a proviso. However, it is not clear which aromatic group is being reffered here since all three rings in the tricyclic ring system are aromatic rings and the substituents R1 and R2 also contain aromatic groups. Is this aromatic group is in addition to substituents R1 and R2?

In claim 1, the value of variable n defined as an integer is indefinite. The applicants are suggested to include specific values such as 1-3 or 1-5 etc.

In claim 1, the values of variables R1 and R2 defined as heteroaryl is indefinite since the size of the ring, number and types of heteroatoms present in the ring are not defined.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,493

Art Unit: 1625

In claim 1, the value of variables R1 and R2 defined as heteroalkyl is indefinite since its meaning is not clear. Should it read as heterocyclyl?

In claim 5, formulae I(b) through I(f) are neither present in the claim nor in figure 3. The applicants are suggested to include these formulae in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Nakada (EP 0 564 224, cited on applicants form 1449).

Nakada discloses organic electroluminescence device having an electron transport layer comprising phenanthroline derivatives of formula (Ia). The compounds of formula (Ia) disclosed on page 10, compounds 27-44 and 65-69 disclosed on pages 11-22 by Nakada clearly anticipate the instant claims.

8. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Dietrich-Buchecker (Tetrahed. Lett., cited on applicants form 1449).

Dietrich-Buchecker discloses selective and efficient synthesis of substituted 1, 10-Phenanthrolines. The compounds 2b, 3b and 4b disclosed in figure 1 (see page 3396) clearly anticipate the instant claims.

9. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Daniel (U.S. Patent no. 4,853,090).

Daniel discloses Lithium ion-selective compositions, electrodes and a method of use.

The compositions comprising compounds disclosed in columns 7-10 and 13-19 as well as claims 1-9 clearly anticipate the instant claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,493

Art Unit: 1625

Page 5

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charanjit S. Aulakh whose telephone number is (571)272-0678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane can be reached on (571)272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Charanjit S. Aulakh Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625